

|   |                   |              |  |
|---|-------------------|--------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.   | Applicant(s) |  |
|   | 10/073,101        | BETTY ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner          | Art Unit     |  |
|   | Krystyna Suchecki | 2882         |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Krystyna Suchecki.

(2) Tony Laurentano.

**Status of Application: Response to non-Final**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Claim 16 in view of Ido (US 6,111,998)*

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

*Ido (US 6,111,998)*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner indicated all claims were allowable with the exception of claim 16 and offered to cancel the claim by Examiner's Amendment. After discussions with Applicant, Mr. Laurentano requested an Office action on the merits.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)